Human Reasoning and the Weak Completion Semantics II Technische Universität Dresden Exercise 3

Steffen Hölldobler, Meghna Bhadra

May 9, 2022

Problem 1

Consider a docking terminal for ships which has three blocks, a, b and c placed on a dock, and a robot arm which can help one move these blocks around.

Given an initial state of the world: $ondock(a) \circ ondock(b) \circ on(c, a) \circ clear(b) \circ clear(c) \circ empty$. The fluent ondock(X) signifies that block X is on the dock, on(X, Y) signifies that block X is on top of Y, clear(X) signifies that the top of block X is clear, empty signifies that the robot's arm is not holding anything and holding(X) signifies that the robot is holding X. We want to reach the goal state: $ondock(c) \circ on(b, c) \circ on(a, b) \circ clear(a) \circ empty$.

Given the following set of possible actions, action(preconditions, name, effects): $action(clear(V) \circ ondock(V) \circ empty, pickup(V), holding(V))$, $action(clear(V) \circ on(V, W) \circ empty, unstack(V, W), holding(V) \circ clear(W))$, $action(holding(V), putdown(V), clear(V) \circ ondock(V) \circ empty)$, $action(holding(V) \circ clear(W), stack(V, W), on(V, W) \circ clear(V) \circ empty)$.

Also given the set of rules: $causes(X, [], Y) \leftarrow X \approx (Y \circ Z),$ $causes(X, [V|W], Y) \leftarrow action(P, V, Q) \land (P \circ Z) \approx X \land causes(Z \circ Q, W, Y),$ $X \approx X.$

Please answer the following questions:

• Using the above set of actions and rules of inference, starting with

 $causes(ondock(a) \circ ondock(b) \circ on(c, a) \circ clear(b) \circ clear(c) \circ empty, W,$ $ondock(c) \circ on(b, c) \circ on(a, b) \circ clear(a) \circ empty),$

show the next 3 steps of computation. Recall: causes(X, W, Y) signifies the sequence of actions W which transforms state X to state Y.

- List the plan or the (grounded) sequence of actions (not to be confused with the *action* predicate) which would transform the given initial state to the desired goal state.
- Suppose that the symbol \circ is idempotent, meaning $X \circ X \approx X$. Using one of the above steps of computation as an example show what would change and what kind of problem could arise.